

- Introduction and Background
- Initial parallelisation with MPI-1 MPI-1 benchmarks
- Improvements and parallelisation with MPI-2 MPI-2 benchmarks
- Conclusion

Introduction and Background

- We can calculate the ground state energy of a material using Density Functional Theory.
- For many substances, e.g. semiconductors, we are interested in their excited states.
- To do this, we calculate their self-energy and then use peturbation thoery to calculate the excited energy.
- The serial GW space code was developed to do this.

The GW Space Code

- Although there are many improvements that can be done to the code, it is still very expensive.
- A parallel version of this code has been developed using MPI-1 which has significant gains in terms of computation time.
- But the code is slowed down too much by time-consuming MPI_GATHER and MPI_BCAST calls.

Parallelisation with MPI-1

- The culprits are input and output
- several large arrays split across PEs
- each PE deals with a sub-section of the array
- each PE requires a copy of all input data
- all sub-arrays are sent to the master PE which writes out the complete array

|epcc|

MPI-1 Benchmarks

min/PE	min	routine	mostly spent in
64.22	4110	program	-
	3190	MPI_BCAST	-
	276	gwst	MPI_BCASTs
6.67	427	smatrel_in_sigma	MPI_ALLGATHERV(some array),
			iterim=sum() eq. sxexpv
6.39	409	sigma	MPI_BCAST(some array)
	369	MPI_ALLGATHERV	-
3.23	207	polar	MPI_GATHERV(some array)
	201	MPI_GATHERV	-
1.20	76.9	sfq2	calculation of an inner product
0.63	40	ReOrder	-
0.57	36.2	selfx	sxexpv=sxepv+
0.29	18.5	greensrt	green=green+gkaux*aux
0.27	17.2	triangle_in_rmt	-

Optimisations



Modification of MPI-1 routines - JC's code - Reading on all PEs MPI-I/O



Modification of MPI-1 routines - JC's code MPI-I/O - With basic datatypes - With derived datatypes

Improvements to MPI-1

```
IF(rank.eq.0) THEN
OPEN file
ELSE
MPI_RECV(offset)
REWIND(iunit)
DO i = offset,offset+enddata
READ(iunit,REC = i,...)
ENDDO
ENDIF
MPI_SSEND(offset,rank+1)
```

Code runs sequentially and needs unformatted input

Improvements to MPI-1

- The main slowdown with input is in broadcasting arrays.
- It is then possible to have all PEs access the file and read in the data at the same time.
- The logical variable pe_all_read is set in the control file
- This must be set to false if the OS locks files when being read.

Timings for pe_all_read

Over all input: pe_all_read(.false.) = 28.9282 pe_all_read(.true.) = 28.9298 Over the cast1.F90 and cast2.F90 routines, the timings were: pe_all_read=(.false.) = 28.7398 pe_all_read=(.true.) = 28.8364

Use of MPI-2 for I/O

MPI-1

MPI-2

CALL MPI_TYPE_STRUCT(...,FINALTYPE,...) CALL greensrt(...,greensnPE,...) CALL greensrt(...,greenspPE,...) CALL MPI_GATHERV(greenspPE,...) CALL MPI_BARRIER IF (rank.eq.0) WRITE greenspPE CALL MPI_TYPE_CREATE_SUBARRAY(...,filetype,...)

```
CALL MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW (..., MPI_REAL, filetype,...)
CALL greensrt(..., greensnPE,...)
```

```
CALL greensrt(..., greenspPE,...)
```

```
CALL MPI_FILE_WRITE_ALL(..., greenspPE,...)
```

Derived Datatypes



Problems with MPI-2

In original code: Write to record: rstars%nstars*(it-twgrid%ntmin) + irs 1st read from record: (kstars%npos*rstars%nstars * it) + (kstars%npos*(irs-1)) + kstars%regtopos(kstars%nomem(ik) 2nd read from record 2: rstars%nstars*(it-twgrid%ntmin) + irs In MPI-2 code: Write to record: irs 1st read from record: **\$\$\$\$\$\$** 2nd read from record: irs



MPI-2 Benchmarks

MPI-2 benchmarks

The Parallel GW Space Code and I/O issues 13



- For large arrays, MPI-2 I/O is more efficient
- For small arrays and other data, MPI-1 should be used
- MPI-2 I/O should be easier to implement
- Input of data should be looked at more closely. Currently the cast routines are the most efficient.